

The 7th International Conference on Sustainable Energy Information Technology  
(SEIT 2017)

## Connecting small, private & independent hydro power plants to increase the overall power generating efficiency

Markus Jäger<sup>a</sup>, Markus Manuel Schwarz<sup>b</sup>, Dagmar Auer<sup>a</sup>, Karl Platzer<sup>c</sup>, Josef Küng<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Institute for Application Oriented Knowledge Processing (FAW), Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences (TNF), Johannes Kepler University Linz, Altenberger Straße 69, 4040 Linz, Austria*

<sup>b</sup>*Energieinstitut, Johannes Kepler University Linz, Altenberger Straße 69, 4040 Linz, Austria*

<sup>c</sup>*nextsoft IT GmbH, Grubbachstrasse 42, 4644 Scharnstein, Austria*

---

### Abstract

In countries, where many small rivers exist, the physical geography can be used to implement environment-friendly small hydro power plants for the generation of energy. The smaller such hydro power plants are, the higher is the impact of environmental incidents. Usually, there are more than one small hydro power plants located alongside one river, most of the time operated by different owners. To increase the overall power generating efficiency of all hydro power plants alongside one river, a good communication- and cooperating concept is needed.

In our work, we propose such a system and a prototype implementation within several small, private and independent hydro power plants to increase in the energy production through a networked intelligent control system.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V.

Peer-review under responsibility of the Conference Program Chairs.

*Keywords:* small hydro power plants; intelligent control system; cooperation; hydro power;

---

### 1. Introduction & Description of Project "Connect\_Hydro"

Small hydro power plants act concerning their adjustment and control without integration and consideration of the needs of small hydro power plants in the neighborhood. This is especially the case if they are in the property of different operators. Significant inefficiencies like a lower electricity production are the result. For example at the so called Alm - a river in Upper Austria with a length of 48 km - 55 small hydro power plants exist. Although such small hydro power plants are located only with a small distance between each other in the river, they have no connective system which facilitates data transfer for optimizing their performance, respectively enhancing a demand-actuated production of electricity. States of the art are isolated applications without any connection or data-sharing.

Aim of the proposed project is the exploration of a novel smart networking system which facilitates the ideal control and collaborative adjustment of small hydro power plants on a river. Therefore the latest data of all small hydro power plants located in a river and arranged in a chain of several small hydro power plants has to be implied. The smart networking system comprises the collection and analysis of the latest data delivered from the small hydro power plants on real-time basis (e.g.: performance data, water level, technical parameters on turbines and generators). Smart behavior of the networking system provides control information for optimizing performance and demand-actuated

electricity production for the small hydro power plants participating in the smart network. Also external data such as the amount of rainfalls will be fed into the smart networking system automatically. Such a control and networking system - also called expert-system - can reduce the costs of hydro power production by reducing maintenance expenditures and increasing the reliability of hydro power production. Additionally it facilitates a more efficient electricity production out of the rivers available water supply by increasing turbine efficiency without harming nature. Smart control systems enhance a remote-control of small hydro power plants which facilitates the rectification of a fault this additionally increases the efficiency of the small hydro power plants. Within the project a detailed concept is prepared which will be used for the development of a prototype in follow-up projects. The project involves concrete flowing waters and local operators of small hydro power plants. The probing is carried out with the involvement of specific stretches of running waters and established small hydro power plant operators.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: section 2 shows related work and state of the art technology. In section 3, we try to figure out, which existing cooperation- and integration concepts for (small) hydro power plants exist. Section 4 describes solution concepts on a hardware- and software level. We sum up and close our work in section 5.

## **2. Related Work**

### *2.1. State of the art*

Small hydro power plants cover approximately 9% of Austrias power demand and are of great significance for the security of supply and regional economy due to their decentralized character. A constant downward trend in electricity trading prices due to market turbulence and high requirements of the EUs water framework directive lead to a threat for the operation of the plants, making considerations of alternative concepts of technology and utilization a necessity.

The main number of small hydro power plants is equipped with outdated control devices – modernization of these controls alone could increase energy yield for up to 10%<sup>3,7</sup>. Further, small hydro power plant controls are often isolated applications, one reason being the various plant operators along a river. Interconnecting or coordinated control and optimization analogous to big hydro power plant cascades offers great potential, which has not yet been observed. Small hydro power plants which are currently in operation in Austria are equipped with varying types of controls. Digital controls and programmable logic controllers (PLC) are in operation at newer plants, whereas older plants still operate on analogous or mechanical controls, which allow no external access to current data<sup>3</sup>.

No safe presumption can be made about the various kinds of controls and their dissemination within the small hydro power plants; however it can be assumed that the majority of plants are using analogous or mechanical controls. According to estimations more than 60% of existing small hydro power plants are equipped with outdated (analogous or mechanical) controls.

In a report commissioned by the German Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety an improvement potential in energy yield of up to 10% only through optimization of plant control is calculated<sup>3</sup>, whereas Matz et al.<sup>7</sup> assumes an increase between 1% and 5% of the energy production. Thus, modernization of controls of small power plants alone show significant potential, which can be ascribed to their outdated control equipment. In order to facilitate the supervision of a plant, new or renewed plants are equipped with remote access in order to allow for transmission of data via a user interface. This allows the plant operator to view current data of the plant and alter them, interfering with the control system. However, data can neither be used for external analyses nor is there a possibility to influence the system by changing certain parameters due to incoming data or externally predefined rules.

### *2.2. Problem*

In contrast to large run-of-the-river power plants, small hydro power plants are prone to experience problems with suspended loads (foliage, branches, waste), which makes frequent flushing necessary (in extreme cases every 15 minutes) in order to keep the power production at a constant. Furthermore, smaller hydro power plants are generally more sensitive and less resilient to flotsam in the water (e.g. Kaplan turbine). Communication between small hydro power plants along the same river is, as opposed to large power plants, not automated.

If, for instance, a diversion power plant has to be shut down due to low water, as a consequence, other power plants also have to shut down as well since they also have too little water available to keep up production (so-called water holes). The diversion plant does not release any water into the headrace channel, which causes backwater as far as the next weir upstream. Only when the headrace channel is full, water is led over the weir to the downstream power plants. In this particular case, plants without automated restart systems have to be manually started again.

Thus, due to the significant differences between large and small hydro power plants, the results cannot be scaled and transferred. Unfortunately, automated and interconnected solutions, which are used in large hydro power plants, are often neither economically feasible nor practical. Large power plants along Austrian rivers like the Danube, Inn, Traun, Oder and Elbe have been working on interconnected solutions for a while. These solutions access to complex numerical models or water management models in order to control and regulate hydro power plants in a sequence of cascades<sup>4,9</sup>. In Nestmann and Theobald<sup>9</sup> it is shown that the automation of barrages in a sequence of cascades is of increasing importance. There, on the example of the Rhine and Neckar river the principles and applications of a numerical method used for preparing the parametrization have been developed, also taking account of the various interests of navigation, energy production and flood protection. As an example for a smaller river, Edelsbrunner<sup>4</sup> focused on the small hydro power plants along the Pöls, a river in Styria (Austria) to develop various methods for an optimized flushing management for the river Pöls. To ensure an optimized operation of hydro power plants in a sequence of cascades further solutions are based on forecast models<sup>1,6,7,8</sup>. As a consequence of climate change the water supply and demand will change in the near future. Matz et al.<sup>7</sup> describes the possible effects on water economy and hydro power generation. These changes can be countered through the optimized regulation of hydro power plants among others based on inflow forecasts, while considering the additional functions of the dams. Another models extend there weather forecast models with economic aspects, such as feed-in tariffs<sup>8</sup>, while for other operators of hydro power plants the significance of hydrological forecasts is expressed with regards to an economical plant operation<sup>1</sup>. Furthermore, by combining model predictive control techniques with decomposition-coordination methods, Florez et al.<sup>6</sup> develops a control system for a whole hydro power valley.

### 3. Integration & Cooperation Concept

Big hydro power plants today are already strongly integrated or are at least on the way to integration. Intensive use of information technology for data integration and management, for providing predictions based on historical and real-time data, as well as for the overall control systems is state of the art now at least for big power plants<sup>12</sup>. Today, interconnection even goes a step further, especially connecting to network partners and power supply companies<sup>12</sup>. Global players such as Voith (Germany) Renewable Energy or Andritz Hydro provide a series of respective solutions. However, the focus of these products is typically a highly integrated single but large scale plant<sup>5,12</sup>). When doing paper research on cooperation of small or micro hydro power plants, most research articles focus on the cooperation between energy producers and the network provider/s (grid, smart grid, mini grid, etc.) to fulfill the customers needs<sup>2,10</sup>. Cooperation between the energy producers to increase their efficiency, thus focusing on a different target dimension to be optimized, receives rather little attention. Thalhammer<sup>12</sup>, Farina<sup>5</sup>, and Stewart<sup>11</sup> provide interesting ideas, concepts and/or algorithms which could be relevant to the basic problem discussed in this paper, but with a strong focus on large scale plants. In the following, we discuss alternative control strategies and further consider the impact on the IT innovation necessary to implement these strategies.

#### 3.1. Control Strategy

With hydro power plants, the prediction of the feeder stream is one of the most important facts to provide the different forecast calculations, necessary to optimize the controlling of the plant<sup>7</sup>. With our scenario not only climate, weather forecasts, prediction of snow melt, etc. are important factors for the prediction of the feeder stream, but also the behavior of other small hydro power plants in the line before. The behavior may be influenced by factors such as the amount of energy which can be delivered, size and level of flood basins, or the need for flushing the trash racks. From a rather theoretical point of view, a series of hydro power plants which operate on one river can be regarded as a linear system with a defined neighborhood. The neighborhood of one subsystem can be specified as a set of directly affecting subsystems of the whole linear system<sup>5</sup>. The control strategy determines the essential characteristics of how

the distributed subsystems, i.e., the plants, in such a linear system are controlled. Farina<sup>5</sup> propose a classification of distributed Model Predictive Control (MPC) based on:

- the information exchange protocol, i.e., non-iterative or iterative,
- the type of the cost function to be optimized, i.e., cooperative or non-cooperative, and
- the topology of the transmission network, i.e., fully connected or partially connected.

In the further, we concentrate on two manifestations of such distributed MPCs, but also consider some centralized approach:

1. The Local Control Strategy (LCS) is based on a non-iterative and non-cooperative approach, which allows for only a partially connected topology.
2. The Collaborative Control Strategy (CCS) uses a cooperative approach, which relies on a fully connected topology of the transmission network.
3. The Centralized Control Strategy (CCS) uses information from all partners in the overall system and supplies them with the control details. Thus, each partner only has to connect to this central service, which coordinates all partners with respect to defined individual and the overall goals. Cooperation is performed via this centralized service.

### 3.1.1. Local Control Strategy

With the local control strategy, the control of the individual subsystem relies on data from other subsystems. Thus, they are all data consumers and data providers as well. The control of the individual subsystem however is determined locally, no cooperation with others is considered for this aspect. Farina<sup>5</sup> propose their distributed predictive control schema for linear discrete-time systems, which focuses on non-iterative, non-cooperative, partially connected, to solve this kind of a distributed MPC problem. At each sampling time it is only the neighbors who either send or receive information about their future reference trajectories, and guarantee that the actual ones lie within a certain range of the reference ones. Then, each subsystem solves its own optimization problems. To Farina<sup>5</sup> the highlights of their concept is, that (1) it is not necessary for each subsystem to know about the control details of the others, not even their neighbours, (2) information only needs to be transmitted to neighbors, thus only a limited number of communication partners, and (3) the algorithm used is very similar to the ones already applied in industry today. To optimize the control of a single plant, Matz<sup>7</sup> propose a two-phase method. In the first phase, the plant control is optimized off-line, i.e., all predictions and decisions are based on historical data only. The second phase also includes the feeder stream prediction based on current, if possible real-time data and/or predictions. With this approach, additional data from the neighbors can be integrated into the individual control. The neighbor-to-neighbor communication with this strategy also provides some profit to selected partners via decentralized optimization within the linear system even if not all partners in the line are contributing their information.

### 3.1.2. Cooperative Control Strategy

In contrast to the local control strategy, the cooperative distributed one requires all subsystems to consider the effects of local control actions on them. Furthermore, each subsystem also has to optimize for an objective of the overall system. To achieve the same optimal results for the overall objective as with the centralized control strategy, Stewart<sup>11</sup> propose the use of state and output feedback to improve the overall performance. The cooperative control strategy is frequently used within one plant, integrating the different systems, to achieve an optimal overall objective<sup>5, 11</sup>.

### 3.1.3. Centralized Control Strategy

With the centralized control strategy all subsystems are controlled via a single, centralized service, optimizing towards a centralized controller objective. All systems report to this service and receive their control information from it. While this approach offers many technical advantages, such as one powerful centralized server equipped with strong optimization software, transmission channels only from single subsystems to the centralized service, often organizational objections against one centralized service prevent this solution in practice<sup>11</sup>.

The decision on the control strategy is strongly influenced by the fact, whether the subsystem owners trust in the centralized service and in their willingness and ability to consider the individual objectives, too. With the local

strategy, it is always the single subsystem which has full power of control, while you give it up completely with the centralized one. The cooperative strategy asks for additional means such as good negotiation skills, when it comes to considering the effects of local control actions of all subsystems. With regard to the specific situation of small and micro hydro power plants in our scenario we propose the following approach.

### 3.2. Consequences for the Almtal Scenario

In the further, we discuss considerations on the technical infrastructure, organizational and financial aspects concerning the control strategies, with respect to our scenario. For more than 100 years electricity is produced by hydro power plants on the Alm. Today 55 small and micro hydro power plants of more than 40 owners are operated on 48 kilometers. The currently used control systems go from analog relays over different kinds of PLCs to industry PCs.

#### 3.2.1. Discussion of technical, organizational and financial aspects

Considerations concerning **technical aspects** thus concern the controls, the network (connection and transmission) and data integration. As there are still several analog relay controlled hydro power plants, many PLCs and some industry computers, many of these systems are not ready to calculate complex control algorithms to optimize energy production, not for their own system (cp. local control strategy), but especially not for the cooperative control strategy, which demands to take all models of the other subsystems, i.e. the other plants, into account. To implement a decentralized technical solution, extensive investment would be necessary with several of the plants, as each would need to implement the optimization algorithms and provide an infrastructure ready to run these sophisticated algorithms, especially complex with the collaborative strategy. It is not only the algorithms, but also the data which is needed from the plants and the optimization results as input for the control system, which are relevant. No data integration has been necessary till now, thus no integration standards, and also no network between the subsystems (power plants). The more complex the topology is and the more data are transmitted for the optimized control, the higher will be the requirements on the system(s) – from the communication infrastructure to IT infrastructure and the optimization algorithms.

Concerning the **financial aspect** it will be important to compare the costs for implementation, long-term operation and maintenance including a quantified estimation of the innovative strength. Thus, implementing the cooperative strategy with a decentralized infrastructure is not realistic with our scenario, as its technical complexity is too high and the anticipated costs as well.

The main problem with the centralized control strategy is rather **organizational objections**. The partners involved fear to lose control over their own system, when it is centralized, and thus do not accept such a solution<sup>11</sup>.

#### 3.2.2. Proposed concept

With respect to the size and the current infrastructure of the subsystems involved in our scenario as well as the anticipated costs of the different solutions, we propose to clearly distinguish between the infrastructure strategy and the control strategy. Building up a common, centralized infrastructure with connection (and interfaces) from each partner, offers optimized services to all subsystems, regardless of the control strategy which will be implemented. A strong server infrastructure combined with a reliable and secure transmission network is the basis for this architecture. With a common data integration service, data can be collected from different sources and by different interfaces. No local recording is needed. Huge power plants today already integrate different subsystems. Integration on the level of the overall control center is the most effective one there<sup>12</sup>. As all data from all subsystems are available on this common server, all control strategies presented before can be implemented on this basis. In any case the calculation is done on a highly efficient centralized resource and the results are sent back to each subsystem. We propose to implement the cooperative control strategy, as all data needed is already available and it promises the best fit of optimized results for all partners, which is a good basis for a successful long-term collaboration. Not only the immediate availability of data and control parameters from the plants as well as reduced network traffic (esp. with the cooperative control strategy) are important benefits. Also, changes to the overall system (e.g., new partner joining or an existing is leaving) can easily be propagated. Despite all the advantages of this concept, some owners of subsystems may still be bothered by the use of a centralized service. Here it is up to the provider of this common service to build the trust needed to successfully cooperate to provide better results for all partners.

## 4. Solution Concepts & Proposed Implementation

In this section, we propose a solution for the challenges, which arise in the special scenario of connecting different small hydro power plants and we refer to additional ideas and concepts to increase the efficiency of generating energy. There exists a prototype implementation at several hydro power plants, which can be adapted and used for a smart connection. In subsection 4.1 we describe possible hardware, which can be used for the implementation, in subsection 4.2, the functionalities of the used software concept are proposed.

### 4.1. Technical & Hardware Level

At present, most small hydro power plants in Austria with less than 500kW power are operating isolated from the hydro power plants upstream and downstream. They neither provide data for hydro power plants alongside the river nor use data from these power plants or any other source. Many of these power plants have not even remote control access due to obsolete control techniques and the often close-by living owners, who take care of their respective hydro power plants. In order to find out whether real improvement can be achieved by connecting single small hydro power plants the current situation has to be examined. Therefore data from different hydro power plants alongside the river "Alm" is collected and analyzed regarding increasing electrical power and decreasing maintenance effort.

If there is room for improvement by connecting single hydro power plants a simple approach has to be developed, which allows hydro power plants with various technological standard to benefit from this connection at low cost. This includes specifying an instrument for collecting data from hydro power plants and providing them with control instructions on the one hand. On the other hand a central unit is required to unify the collected data from the participating power plants and other sources and to create useful information for the individual hydro power plants. The single hydro power plants are connected by the central unit, which may also take responsibility for additional tasks, for instance producing data for an early-flood warning system.

#### 4.1.1. Different initial situations in controlling the small hydro power plant

One main problem of setting up an overall communication system are the different states and possibilities of devices, which are used for controlling the parts of the small hydro power plant. These can be Relay control Stations, Small or large programmable logic controllers (PLC), or Industrial Computers.

When implementing a control system with external logic, the following steps must be considered: (1) Gathering data from several different small hydro power plants, (2) Transfer the gathered data to the external logic, (3) Send the control recommendations back to the small hydro power plants.

#### 4.1.2. Data Logging in the small hydro power plant

Currently a solution prototype is installed at several stations. Data is gathered from three places in the small hydro power plants. The data is sent to the central server via C++ implementations. Connection to the server respectively the data transmission operates via TCP/IP.

Components of the central server:

- Evaluation software: the data from the small hydro power plants is received and stored/inserted into a database via a JAVA-application
- Database: responsible for data storage (MySQL)
- Web-Interface: visualization of the stored data via PHP and JavaScript

The following parameter are gathered at the small hydro power plants:

- Opening clearance at the weir
- Water level at weir, water level before and after power plant
- rack cleaning interval
- Turbidity of the water
- Opening level of water turbine
- Power of water turbine

For every parameter, the following information is gathered (since 2016, about 18 million database entries):

- Logger ID (loId, int(11)), Logger IP (loIp, varchar(45))
- Logger Time (loLoggertime, int(11)), Logger Timestamp (LoTs, datetime)
- Logger Port (loPort, char(2)), Logger Value (loValue, double), Logger Device ID (loDeviceId, varchar(20))

#### 4.1.3. Components of the networking unit

Criteria of selecting the components are price, robustness, programmability, connectivity, availability, power consumption, interfaces, type of signals, compatibility with existing power plant controls, size.

Example of components of a finished solution/implementation:

- Programmable controllers e.g. Siemens Simatic S7-1200, Mitsubishi MELSEC FX3GE, Advantech Adam 6024
- Industrial computer e.g. Siemens SIMATIC IPC227E
- Mini-PC e.g. Raspberry Pi, BeagleBone Black
- Modules for combination e.g. Arduino Ethernet, Atmega, Arduino Nano, Atmega328, Enc28J60

Example of components for a self-construction solution:

- Printed circuit board (self implemented)
- Microcontroller e.g. ATmega328P
- Ethernet e.g. Enc28J60
- Others e.g. operation amplifier (LM324), electroconductors (Elko 100 $\mu$ ), voltage linear regulators (LM7805CT), diodes (Bat43), ...

#### 4.1.4. Components of the central server

Tasks to be done by the central server:

- Central communication component between the small hydro power plants (insert data from power plants into the database, send control information from database/system to the power plant)
- Data Storage (relational database, converting measured data to real values)
- Rule-based component (manage rules, managing if-then-relations, generate control information based on data and rules)
- Self-learning component (automatically improving rules, based on benchmarks)
- User interface (via web or app, managing users and rights, managing rules, managing assets, managing messages (ice push, maintenance), visualization of facilities and parameters)
- Coupling with early-warning-system (e.g. via web service, water levels, weir opening, disturbances)
- Notification system (e.g. via sms or email, necessary for the facility operators)

## 4.2. Software Level

At the software level, we propose a central system, which is a combination of a "Database System", a "Knowledge Processing System" and an "Early Warning System", which announces alarms/alerts based on the Decision System, which is based on the Events of the Event Matrix. Due to confidentiality restrictions of the project contract, a publication of the content of the Event Matrix is not possible at the current time. The overall communication- and regulation-schema can be seen in figure 1.

Data from sensors at the power plants is gathered as well as sensor data from external sources and stored in the central system (compare chapter 4.1.4), mainly in the database. From this database, the knowledge processing system can learn, based on the events defined in the event matrix, e.g. how several sensor data combinations and occurrences will lead to which events (e.g. much rainfall upstream obviously can lead to a flooding of the power plants). Based on the knowledge in the system, it is possible to predict harmful occurrences (e.g. a flooding of a power plant can damage the active turbines), following to make decisions (e.g. to prevent damaging the turbines, they should be deactivated when a flooding is predicted) and give signals either to the early warning system (e.g. message to the owner/operator of the small hydro power plant that it is recommended to deactivate the turbines) or a installed regulation system (e.g. which automatically can deactivate the turbines).




Fig. 1. Overview technical concept "Connect\_Hydro"

## 5. Conclusion & Future Work

We showed the possible cooperation concepts for connecting independent, private and small hydro power plants together for increasing the overall power generating efficiency as well as a prototype implementation on a hardware and software level. We also gave a short example scenario to show the potential of increasing the power generating efficiency by connecting several small hydro power plants alongside the same river. The main aspect of increasing the overall efficiency in this scenario is the reduction of the power plants downtime caused by damaged turbines. Due to confidentiality constraints, a more detailed view on the event matrix cannot be given. Connect\_Hydro is a first step research project to evaluate the possibilities in this domain. Follow up projects will be used to concretize further options on increasing the power generating efficiency through a smart connection of small hydro power plants.

*Acknowledgment.* The research leading to these results has received funding from the federal county of Upper Austria.

## References

1. Martin Bachhiesl, Otto Pirker. Influent stream forecasts for the Verbund. *Wiener Mitteilung Band 164: Niederschlag-Abfluss Modellierung - Simulation und Prognose 2000*.
2. Rojesh Dahal, Shailendra Kumar Jha, Brijesh Adhikary. Performance of droop based load controller in interconnected micro hydro power plants. *4th International Conference on the Development in the Renewable Energy Technology (ICDRET) 2016*.
3. Ulrich Dumont, Rita Keuneke. Vorbereitung und Begleitung der Erstellung des Erfahrungsberichtes 2011 gem 65 EEG. *Federal report 2011*.
4. Georg Edelsbrunner. Economical water management of the hydro power plants along the river Pöls. *Diploma Thesis, TU Graz 2012*.
5. Marcello Farina, Riccardo Scattolini. Distributed predictive control: A non-cooperative algorithm with neighbor-to-neighbor communication for linear systems *Automatica* 48 (6), pp. 10881096. DOI: 10.1016/j.automatica.2012.03.020. 2012.
6. J. Zarate Florez, J. Marinez, G. Besancon, D. Faille. Decentralized-coordinated model predictive control for a hydro-power valley. *ELSEVIER Mathematics and Computers in Simulation* 91 2013.
7. Silvia Matz, Christian Pohl, Gregers Jrgensen. Optimizing Power Generation at Hydropwer Stations. *Dresdener Wasserbauliche Mitteilung Heft 39: Wasserbaukolloquium 2009: Wasserkraft im Zeichen des Klimawandels 2009*.
8. Claudio Monteiro, Ignacio J. Ramirez-Rosado, L. Alfredo Fernandez-Jimenez. Short-term forecasting model for electric power production of small-hydro power plants. *ELSEVIER Journal on Renewable Energy* 50 2013
9. Franz Nestmann, Stephan Theobald. Numerical model for control and regulation of barrages in a sequence of cascades exemplified by projects on the Rhine and Neckar. *Mitteilungsblatt der Bundesanstalt fr Wasserbau Nr. 71 1994*.
10. Sanjeev Pokhrel, S.K. Singal, S.N. Singh. Comprehensive study of community managed mini grid. *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering Vol 3, Special Issue 3, ICERTSD 2013, pp. 514520. 2013*.
11. Brett T. Stewart, Aswin N. Venkat, James B. Rawlings, Stephen J. Wright, Gabriele Pannocchia. Cooperative distributed model predictive control. *Systems & Control Letters, Vol. 59, Issue 8, August 2010, pp. 460469. DOI: 10.1016/j.sysconle.2010.06.005. 2010*.
12. Rudolf Thalhammer. Intelligente Informationsvernetzung von Wasserkraftwerken. *Elektrotechnik & Informationstechnik, 125/9, Springer Verlag, pp. 323325. DOI 10.1007/s00502-008-0565-5 2008*.